

# AV-COURANT ALGEBROIDS AND GENERALIZED CR STRUCTURES

DAVID LI-BLAND

**ABSTRACT.** We construct a generalization of Courant algebroids which are classified by the third cohomology group  $H^3(A, V)$ , where  $A$  is a Lie Algebroid, and  $V$  is an  $A$ -module. We see that both Courant algebroids and  $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$  structures are examples of them. Finally we introduce generalized CR structures on a manifold, which are a generalization of generalized complex structures, and show that every CR structure and contact structure is an example of a generalized CR structure.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Courant algebroids and the Dirac structures associated to them were first introduced by Courant and Weinstein (see [6] and [7]) to provide a unifying framework for studying such objects as Poisson and symplectic manifolds. Aïssa Wade later introduced the related  $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ -Dirac structures in [27] to describe Jacobi structures.

In [14], Hitchin defined generalized complex structures which are further described by Gualtieri in [13]. Generalized complex structures unify both symplectic and complex structures, interpolating between the two, and have appeared in the context of string theory [18]. In [15] Iglesias and Wade describe generalized contact structures, an odd-dimensional analog to generalized complex structures, using the language of  $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ -Dirac structures.

In this paper, we shall define *AV-Courant Algebroids*, a generalization of Courant algebroids which also allows one to describe  $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ -Dirac structures. We will show that these have a classification similar to Ševera's classification of exact Courant algebroids in [24].

To be more explicit, let  $M$  be a smooth manifold,  $A \rightarrow M$  be a Lie algebroid with anchor map  $a : A \rightarrow TM$ , and  $V \rightarrow M$  a vector bundle which is an  $A$ -module. If we endow  $V$  with the structure of a trivial Lie algebroid (that is: trivial bracket and anchor), then it is well known that the extensions of  $A$  by  $V$  are a geometric realization of  $H^2(A, V)$  (see [19], for instance). In this paper, we introduce *AV-Courant algebroids* and describe how they are a geometric realization of  $H^3(A, V)$ .

We then go on to show how to simplify the structure of certain *AV-Courant algebroids* by pulling them back to certain principal bundles. Indeed, in the most interesting cases, the pullbacks will simply be exact Courant algebroids.

We then introduce *AV-Dirac structures*, a special class of subbundles of an *AV-Courant algebroid* which generalize Dirac structures. Finally, we will introduce a special class of *AV-Dirac structures*, called *generalized CR structures*, which allow us to describe any complex, symplectic, CR or contact structure on a manifold, as well as many interpolations of those structures. Poisson and Jacobi structures are central to this description; and while Jacobi brackets do not generally satisfy the Leibniz rule, as a consequence of the ideas in this paper we show how one can think of a Jacobi structure on a manifold as a Lie bracket on the sections of a certain line bundle which does satisfy the Leibniz rule.

It is important to note that there are other constructions related to *AV-Courant algebroids*. For instance, recently Z. Chen, Z. Liu and Y.-H. Sheng introduced the notion of *E-Courant algebroids* [5] in order to unify the concepts of omni-Lie algebroids (introduced in [3], see also [4]) and generalized Courant algebroids or Courant-Jacobi algebroids (introduced in [23] and [11] respectively; they are

equivalent concepts see [23]). The key property that both  $E$ -Courant algebroids and  $AV$ -Courant algebroids share is that they replace the  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued bilinear form of Courant algebroids with one taking values in an arbitrary vector bundle ( $E$  or  $V$  respectively). Nevertheless, while there is some overlap between  $E$ -Courant algebroids and  $AV$ -Courant algebroids in terms of examples, these constructions are not equivalent. Moreover, this paper is distinguished from [5] by having the definition of generalized CR manifolds as one of its main goals.

Meanwhile generalized CRF structures, introduced and studied in great detail by Izu Vaisman in [26], and generalized CR structures describe similar objects. To summarize, a complex structure on a manifold  $M$  is a subbundle  $H \subset TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad H \oplus \bar{H} = TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

and  $[H, H] \subset H$ . The definition of a CR structure simply relaxes (1.1) to  $H \cap \bar{H} = 0$ . On the other hand, the definition of a generalized complex structure replaces  $TM$  with the standard Courant algebroid  $\mathbb{T}M = T^*M \oplus TM$  in the definition of a complex structure, and in addition, requires  $H \subset \mathbb{T}M \otimes \mathbb{C}$  to be isotropic.

The definition of a generalized CRF structure parallels the definition of a generalized complex structure, but relaxes the requirement that  $H \oplus \bar{H} = \mathbb{T}M \otimes \mathbb{C}$  to  $H \cap \bar{H} = 0$ . Among numerous interesting examples of generalized CRF structures are normal contact structures and normalized CR structures (namely those CR structures  $H \subset \mathbb{T}M \otimes \mathbb{C}$  for which there is a splitting  $\mathbb{T}M \otimes \mathbb{C} = H \oplus \bar{H} \oplus Q_c$  and  $[H, Q_c] \subset H \oplus Q_c$ ).

Generalized CR structures differ from generalized CRF structures in multiple ways, in particular they replace the standard Courant algebroid with an  $AV$ -Courant algebroid  $A$ , and furthermore they take a different approach to describe contact and CR structures, using only maximal isotropic subbundles but allowing  $H \cap \bar{H}$  to contain ‘infinitesimal’ elements.

**Acknowledgements.** We would like to thank Eckhard Meinrenken for all his helpful suggestions and discussions, his patience and his encouragement. We would like to thank Aïssa Wade and Henrique Bursztyn for their encouragement and suggestions. D.L.-B. was supported by an NSERC CGS-D Grant.

## 2. $AV$ -COURANT ALGEBROIDS

Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold,  $A \rightarrow M$  a Lie algebroid, and  $V \rightarrow M$  a vector bundle which is an  $A$ -module, that is, there is a  $C^\infty(M)$ -linear Lie algebra homomorphism

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{L} : \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \text{End}(\Gamma(V))$$

satisfying the Leibniz rule. (See [19] for more details.)

For any  $A$ -module  $V$ , the sections of  $V \otimes \wedge^* A^*$  have the structure of a graded right  $\wedge^* \Gamma(A^*)$ -module, and there are several important derivations of its module structure which we shall use throughout this paper. The first is the interior product with a section  $X \in \Gamma(A)$ ,

$$\iota_X : \Gamma(V \otimes \wedge^i A^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(V \otimes \wedge^{i-1} A^*),$$

a derivation of degree -1.

The second is the Lie derivative, a derivation of degree 0, defined to be the unique derivation of  $V \otimes \wedge^* A^*$  whose restriction to  $V$  is given by (2.1), and such that the graded commutator with  $\iota$  satisfies

$$[\mathcal{L}_X, \iota_Y] = \iota_{[X, Y]}.$$

Finally the differential  $d$ , a derivation of degree 1, is defined inductively by the graded commutator  $\mathcal{L}_X = [d, \iota_X]$  (for all  $X \in \Gamma(A)$ ).

It is easy to check that  $d^2 = 0$ , and the cohomology groups of the complex  $(\Gamma(V \otimes \wedge^\bullet A^*), d)$  are denoted  $H^\bullet(A, V)$ .

**2.1. Definition of AV-Courant Algebroids.** Let  $A$  be a Lie algebroid, and  $V$  an  $A$ -module.

**Definition 1** (*AV-Courant Algebroid*). Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be a vector bundle over  $M$ , with a  $V$ -valued symmetric bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on the fibres of  $\mathbb{A}$ , and a bracket  $[\![\cdot, \cdot]\!]$  on sections of  $\mathbb{A}$ . Suppose further that there is a short exact sequence of bundle maps

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow V \otimes A^* \xrightarrow{j} \mathbb{A} \xrightarrow{\pi} A \rightarrow 0.$$

such that for any  $e \in \Gamma(\mathbb{A})$  and  $\xi \in \Gamma(V \otimes A^*)$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad \langle e, j(\xi) \rangle = \iota_{\pi(e)} \xi.$$

The bundle  $\mathbb{A}$  with these structures is called an *AV-Courant algebroid* if, for  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ , and  $e, e_i \in \Gamma(\mathbb{A})$ , the following axioms are satisfied:

$$\text{AV-1 } [\![e_1, [e_2, e_3]]\!] = [[e_1, e_2], e_3] + [e_2, [e_1, e_3]]$$

$$\text{AV-2 } \pi([\![e_1, e_2]\!]) = [\pi(e_1), \pi(e_2)]$$

$$\text{AV-3 } [e, e] = \frac{1}{2} D \langle e, e \rangle \text{ where } D = j \circ d$$

$$\text{AV-4 } \mathcal{L}_{\pi(e_1)} \langle e_2, e_3 \rangle = \langle [e_1, e_2], e_3 \rangle + \langle e_2, [e_1, e_3] \rangle$$

we will often refer to  $[\![\cdot, \cdot]\!]$  as the Courant bracket.

*Remark 1.* Axioms (AV-1) and (AV-4) state that  $[\![e, \cdot]\!]$  is a derivation of both the Courant bracket and the bilinear form, while Axiom (AV-2) describes the relation of the Courant bracket to the Lie algebroid bracket of  $A$ . One should interpret Axiom (AV-3) as saying that the failure of  $[\![\cdot, \cdot]\!]$  to be skew symmetric is only an ‘infinitesimal’  $D(\cdot)$ .

The bracket is also derivation of  $\mathbb{A}$  as a  $C^\infty(M)$ -module in the sense that

$$[\![e_1, f e_2]\!] = f [\![e_1, e_2]\!] + a \circ \pi(e_1)(f) \cdot e_2$$

for any  $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(\mathbb{A})$  and  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ . In fact if  $e_3 \in \Gamma(\mathbb{A})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle a \circ \pi(e_1)(f) \cdot e_2 + f [\![e_1, e_2]\!], e_3 \rangle - [\![e_1, f e_2]\!], e_3 \rangle \\ (\text{by (AV-4)}) &= \langle a \circ \pi(e_1)(f) \cdot e_2 + f [\![e_1, e_2]\!], e_3 \rangle - \pi(e_1) \langle f e_2, e_3 \rangle + \langle f e_2, [e_1, e_3] \rangle \\ &= a \circ \pi(e_1)(f) \langle e_2, e_3 \rangle - \pi(e_1) \langle f e_2, e_3 \rangle + f (\langle [e_1, e_2], e_3 \rangle + \langle e_2, [e_1, e_3] \rangle) \\ (\text{by (AV-4)}) &= a \circ \pi(e_1)(f) \langle e_2, e_3 \rangle - \pi(e_1) \langle f e_2, e_3 \rangle + f \pi(e_1) \langle e_2, e_3 \rangle \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that  $V$  is an  $A$  module. Since this holds for all  $e_3 \in \Gamma(\mathbb{A})$ , and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is non-degenerate, the statement follows.

*Remark 2.* One notices that (2.3) and exactness of (2.2) implies that the map

$$(2.4) \quad e \rightarrow \langle e, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow V \otimes A^*$$

is an injection. Consequently, if  $V$  is a line bundle, it follows that  $\mathbb{A} \simeq V \otimes A^*$ , and  $j$  must be the composition

$$j : V \otimes A^* \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \pi^*} V \otimes \mathbb{A}^* \simeq \mathbb{A}.$$

**2.2. Splitting.** We call  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  an isotropic splitting, if it splits the exact sequence (2.2) and  $\phi(A)$  is an isotropic subspace of  $\mathbb{A}$  with respect to the inner product.

*Remark 3.* Such splittings exist. In fact we may choose a splitting  $\lambda : A \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ , which is not necessarily isotropic.

Then we have a map  $\gamma : A \rightarrow V \otimes A^*$  given by the composition

$$\gamma : A \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathbb{A} \xrightarrow{e \rightarrow \langle e, \cdot \rangle} V \otimes \mathbb{A}^* \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \lambda^*} V \otimes A^*.$$

We let  $\phi = \lambda - \frac{1}{2}j \circ \gamma$ . It is easy to check that  $\phi$  is an isotropic splitting.

If  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  is an isotropic splitting, then we have an isomorphism  $\phi \oplus j : A \oplus (V \otimes A^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  be an isotropic splitting. Then under the above isomorphism, the bracket on  $A \oplus (V \otimes A^*)$  is given by*

$$(2.5) \quad \llbracket X + \xi, Y + \eta \rrbracket_\phi = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \eta - \iota_Y d\xi + \iota_X \iota_Y H_\phi,$$

where  $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$ ,  $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma(V \otimes A^*)$ , and  $H_\phi \in \Gamma(V \otimes \wedge^3 A^*)$ , with  $dH_\phi = 0$ .

Furthermore, if  $\psi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  is a different choice of isotropic splitting, then  $\psi(X) = \phi(X) + j(\iota_X \beta)$ , and  $H_\psi = H_\phi - d\beta$ , where  $\beta \in \Gamma(V \otimes \wedge^2 A^*)$ .

The proof is relegated to the appendix, since it is parallel to the proof for ordinary Courant algebroids (see [1] or [24]).

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $A$  be a Lie algebroid and  $V$  an  $A$ -module. Then the isomorphism classes of  $AV$ -Courant algebroids are in bijective correspondence with  $H^3(A, V)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $H \in \Gamma(V \otimes \wedge^3 A^*)$ , and  $dH = 0$ , then let  $\mathbb{A} = A \oplus (V \otimes A^*)$ . We define  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  by

$$(2.6) \quad \langle X + \xi, Y + \eta \rangle = \iota_X \eta + \iota_Y \xi,$$

where  $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma(V \otimes A^*)$  and  $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$ . We define the bracket to be given by Equation (2.5). It is not difficult to check that this satisfies the axioms of an  $AV$ -Courant algebroid.

Conversely, by the above proposition, every  $AV$ -Courant algebroid defines a unique element of  $H^3(A, V)$ .  $\square$

### 3. EXAMPLES

*Example 1.* Let  $M$  be a point, then a Lie algebroid  $A$  is simply a Lie algebra, and an  $A$ -module  $V$  is a finite dimensional representation of  $A$  as a Lie algebra.  $H^i(A, V)$  is simply the  $V$ -valued Lie algebra cohomology, and  $H^3(A, V)$  classifies the  $AV$ -Courant algebroids over a point. Note that an  $AV$ -Courant algebroid over a point is a Lie algebra if and only if  $V$  is a trivial  $A$ -representation.

*Example 2 (Exact Courant Algebroids).* If we let  $A \simeq TM$  and  $V = M \times \mathbb{R}$  be the trivial line bundle with a trivial  $TM$ -module structure, then we have the class of exact Courant algebroids (see [6] or [7]) on  $M$ ,

$$0 \longrightarrow T^*M \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \mathbb{A} \xrightarrow{\pi} TM \longrightarrow 0.$$

Theorem 1 then corresponds to Ševera's classification of exact Courant algebroids.

*Example 3 ( $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$  Structures).* The bundle  $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$  was introduced by A. Wade in [27], and is uniquely associated to a given manifold  $M$ . Within the context of our paper, it is easiest to define  $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$  by using the language of  $AV$ -Courant algebroids:

We let  $A = TM \oplus L$ , where  $L \simeq \mathbb{R}$  is spanned by the abstract symbol  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ . The bracket is given by

$$[X \oplus f \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, Y \oplus g \frac{\partial}{\partial t}]_A = [X, Y]_{TM} \oplus (X(g) - Y(f)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t},$$

where  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ , and  $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$ .

Let  $V$  be the trivial line bundle spanned by the abstract symbol  $e^t$ , so that  $\Gamma(V) = \{e^t h | h \in C^\infty(M)\}$ .  $V$  has an  $A$ -module structure (as suggested by the choice of symbols) given by

$$(X \oplus f \frac{\partial}{\partial t})(e^t h) = e^t(X(h) + fh).$$

We let  $\mathbb{A} := (TM \oplus L) \oplus (V \otimes (T^*M \oplus L^*))$ , and define a bracket on sections by Equation (2.5). It is clear that this data defines an  $AV$ -Courant algebroid on  $M$ . If we set  $H = 0$  in Equation (2.5), then the pair  $(\mathbb{A}, [\cdot, \cdot])$  associated to  $M$  is the  $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ -Structure, as introduced by A. Wade in [27].

*Example 4* (Equivariant  $AV$ -Courant Algebroids on Principal Bundles). Let  $\nu : P \rightarrow M$  be a  $G$ -principal bundle. Suppose that  $A$  is a Lie algebroid over  $P$  and  $V$  is an  $A$ -module; and that there is an  $AV$ -Courant algebroid on  $P$ ,

$$0 \rightarrow V \otimes A^* \rightarrow \mathbb{A} \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0.$$

If the action of  $G$  on  $P$  lifts to an action by bundle maps on  $V$ ,  $A$  and  $\mathbb{A}$ , such that all the structures involved are  $G$ -equivariant. Then the quotient,

$$0 \rightarrow (V \otimes A^*)/G \rightarrow \mathbb{A}/G \rightarrow A/G \rightarrow 0,$$

is an  $A/G$   $V/G$ -Courant algebroid.

*Example 5.* Let  $\nu : P \rightarrow M$  be a  $G$ -principal bundle, and  $W$  a  $k$ -dimensional vector space possessing a linear action of  $G$ . We regard  $W$  as a trivial bundle over  $P$ , and we consider the bundle  $\mathbb{T} := TP \oplus (W \otimes T^*P)$ , endowed with a  $W$ -valued symmetric bilinear form given by Equation (2.6). We also define a bracket on sections of  $\mathbb{T}$  by Equation (2.5) where  $H \in \Omega^3(P, W)^G$  is closed, then

$$0 \rightarrow W \otimes T^*P \xrightarrow{j} \mathbb{T} \xrightarrow{\pi} TP \rightarrow 0$$

is an equivariant  $TP$   $W$ -Courant algebroid on  $P$  (where  $j$  and  $\pi$  are the obvious inclusion and projection). Thus (as in Example 4), we have an  $AV$ -Courant algebroid on  $P/G$ , where  $A = TP/G$  is the Atiyah algebroid, and  $V = P \times_G W$ .

Note, if  $W$  is 1-dimensional, then the  $TP$   $W$ -Courant algebroid given above is simply an exact Courant algebroid.

As it turns out, this is quite a general example. Indeed if  $A$  is a transitive Lie algebroid, then locally all  $AV$ -Courant algebroids result from such a construction (See Section 5).

*Remark 4.* In the above example, one could replace  $P \times W$  with any flat bundle.

*Example 6.* As a special case of Example 5, if we take  $G = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $P = M \times \mathbb{R}$  is a  $G$ -principal bundle where the action is translation. We let  $W$  be the trivial line bundle over  $P$  and let  $t \in G$  act on  $W$  by scaling by  $e^t$ .

To describe the  $G$ -action explicitly, we make the identification  $\Gamma(W) = C^\infty(M \times \mathbb{R})$ ; and then the action is given by

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{R} \times C^\infty(M \times \mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow C^\infty(M \times \mathbb{R}) \\ (t, f(x, s)) &\rightarrow e^{-t} f(x, s + t) \end{aligned}$$

The quotient of the  $TP$   $W$ -Courant algebroid on  $P$  with  $H = 0$  under this action is precisely the  $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ -Structure on  $M = P/\mathbb{R}$ .

*Example 7.* If  $A$  is a Lie algebroid over  $M$ ,  $V$  is an  $A$ -module, and  $\mathbb{A}$  is an  $AV$ -Courant algebroid on the manifold  $M$ , and if  $F \subset M$  is a leaf of the singular foliation defined by  $a(A)$ , then  $i^* \mathbb{A}$  is an  $i^* A$   $i^* V$ -Courant algebroid on  $F$ , where  $i : F \rightarrow M$  is the inclusion.

## 4. AV-DIRAC STRUCTURES

**Definition 2** (*AV-Dirac Structure*). Let  $M$  be a manifold,  $A \rightarrow M$  be a Lie algebroid over  $M$ ,  $V \rightarrow M$  an  $A$ -module, and  $\mathbb{A}$  an  $AV$ -Courant algebroid. Suppose that  $L \subset \mathbb{A}$  is a subbundle, since  $\mathbb{A}$  has a non-degenerate inner product, we can define  $L^\perp = \{v \in \mathbb{A} \mid \langle v, u \rangle = 0 \forall u \in L\}$ .

We call  $L$  an *almost AV-Dirac structure* if  $L^\perp = L$ . An *AV-Dirac structure* is an almost  $AV$ -Dirac structure,  $L \subset \mathbb{A}$  which is involutive with respect to the bracket  $[\![, \!]\!]$ .

*Remark 5.* If  $L \subset \mathbb{A}$  is an  $AV$ -Dirac structure, then  $[\![e, e]\!] = \frac{1}{2}D\langle e, e \rangle = 0$  for any section  $e \in \Gamma(L)$ , so  $[\![, \!]\!]$  is skew-symmetric when restricted to  $L$ , and then by the other properties of the bracket, it follows that  $a \circ \pi : L \rightarrow TM$  is a Lie algebroid, and  $\pi : L \rightarrow A$  is a Lie algebroid morphism.

*Example 8* (Invariant Dirac Structure on a Principal Bundle). Using the notation of Example 4, suppose that the  $A/G$   $V/G$ -Courant algebroid  $\mathbb{A}/G$  on  $M$  is the quotient of a  $AV$ -Courant algebroid  $\mathbb{A}$  on  $P$ . If  $L \subset \mathbb{A}$  is an  $AV$ -Dirac structure which is  $G$  invariant, then it is clear that  $L/G \subset \mathbb{A}/G$  is an  $A/G$   $V/G$ -Dirac structure (see Example 4).

*Example 9* ( $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ -Dirac Structures). Using Example 3, we can describe  $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ , the bundle introduced by A. Wade in [27], as an  $AV$ -Courant algebroid. In this context, the  $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ -Dirac structures (also introduced by A. Wade in [27]) correspond directly to the  $AV$ -Dirac structures.

## 5. TRANSITIVE LIE ALGEBROIDS

**5.1. Simplifying  $AV$ -Courant Algebroids.** Suppose that  $A$  is a Lie algebroid,  $V$  is an  $A$ -module, and  $\mathbb{A}$  is an  $AV$ -Courant algebroid over  $M$  (where we use the notation given in the definition of  $AV$ -Courant algebroids). We will assume for the duration of this section that  $M$  is connected, and we require that  $A$  be a transitive Lie algebroid, namely the anchor map  $a : A \rightarrow TM$  is surjective (see [19] for more details).

Since  $\mathbb{A}$  may be quite complicated, we wish to examine whether this  $AV$ -Courant algebroid is the quotient of a much simpler  $A'V'$ -Courant algebroid on a principal bundle over  $M$ , where  $A'$  is a very simple Lie algebroid and  $V'$  is a very simple  $A'$ -module. To be more explicit, we wish to examine whether  $\mathbb{A}$  results from the construction in Example 5. For this to be true, it is clearly necessary that  $A$  be the Atiyah algebroid of that principal bundle; namely if  $P$  is the principal bundle, then  $A = TP/G$ . The existence of such a principal bundle is equivalent to the integrability of  $A$  as a Lie algebroid:

**Proposition 2.** *Suppose that  $A \rightarrow M$  is an integrable transitive Lie algebroid, that is to say, there exists a source-simply connected Lie groupoid  $\Gamma \xrightleftharpoons[t]{s} M$  with Lie algebroid  $A$  (see [19] for more details). Then  $A$  is the Atiyah algebroid of a principal bundle.*

*Conversely, if  $A$  is the Atiyah algebroid of a principal bundle, then  $A$  is an integrable Lie algebroid.*

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $A$  is integrable, then using the notation in the statement of the proposition, where  $s : \Gamma \rightarrow M$  is the source map and  $t : \Gamma \rightarrow M$  is the target map, let  $x \in M$ , let  $P = \Gamma_x := s^{-1}(x)$ , and let  $G = \Gamma_x^x := s^{-1}(x) \cap t^{-1}(x)$ .

Since  $A$  is transitive,  $t : P \rightarrow M$  is a surjective submersion, for clarity, we define  $p := t|_P$ . Furthermore, if  $y \in M$ , and  $g \in \Gamma_x^y$ , then  $g : p^{-1}(x) \rightarrow p^{-1}(y)$  is a diffeomorphism, so  $p : P \rightarrow M$  is a fibre bundle, with its fibre diffeomorphic to  $G$ . In addition,  $G$  has a right action on  $P$ , given by right multiplication in the Lie groupoid. If  $p^{-1}(y) = \Gamma_x^y$  is a fibre, and  $g \in \Gamma_x^y$  then the diffeomorphism  $g : p^{-1}(x) \rightarrow p^{-1}(y)$  is given by left groupoid multiplication while the action of  $G$  on  $P$  is given by right groupoid multiplication, so it is clear that the two operations commute, from which it follows that  $G$  preserves the fibres of  $P$ , acting transitively and freely on them. Thus  $P$  is a principal  $G$  bundle.

Since  $A$  is the Lie algebroid of  $\Gamma$ , it can be identified with the right invariant vector-fields on  $\Gamma$  tangent to the source fibres. However, since  $A$  is transitive, any two source fibres are diffeomorphic by right multiplication by some element. Thus  $A$  can be identified with the  $G$  invariant vector fields on  $P$ .

Conversely, if  $A$  is the Atiyah algebroid of some principal bundle, it obviously integrates to the gauge groupoid associated to that principal bundle (see [9] or Remark 6), and we may take  $\Gamma$  to be the source-simply connected cover of the gauge groupoid.  $\square$

We now examine whether  $V$  is an associated vector bundle.

**Proposition 3.** *Suppose that  $A$  is an integrable transitive Lie algebroid, and  $V \rightarrow M$  is an  $A$ -module. Then there exists a (possibly disconnected) Lie group  $G$ , and a simply connected principal  $G$ -bundle  $P \rightarrow M$  such that  $V$  is the quotient bundle of  $P \times \mathbb{R}^k$ , for some  $G$  action on  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . In this setting, the standard action of  $\mathcal{X}(P)$  on  $C^\infty(P, \mathbb{R}^k)$  induces the module structure on  $V$ .*

*Proof.* Using the notation and the Lie groupoid described in the previous proposition, we consider  $\Gamma_x \times V_x$ , where  $V_x$  is the fibre of  $V$  at  $x$ . We may assume that  $\Gamma$  is source simply connected and consequently since  $V$  is an  $A$ -module, by Lie's second theorem there exists a Lie groupoid morphism  $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(V)$ .<sup>1</sup> Thus  $\Gamma$  acts on  $V$  and we have a map  $\tilde{p} : \Gamma_x \times V_x \rightarrow V$  given by  $(g, v) \rightarrow gv$ , this is clearly a surjective submersion<sup>2</sup>. Furthermore,

$$\tilde{p}(g, v) = \tilde{p}(g', v') \Leftrightarrow g^{-1}g' \in \Gamma_x^x \text{ and } v = (g^{-1}g')v'.$$

Thus, letting  $G = \Gamma_x^x$  and  $P = \Gamma_x$ , we have  $V \simeq (\Gamma_x \times V_x)/G \simeq (P \times V_x)/G$ .

Furthermore, identifying  $V_x$  with  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , if  $X \in \mathcal{X}(P) \simeq \mathcal{X}(\Gamma_x)$ , and  $\sigma \in C^\infty(P, \mathbb{R}^k)$ , then the standard action of  $X$  on  $\sigma$  is given by  $X(\sigma)_z = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}|_{t=0} \sigma(e^{tX}z)$  for any  $z \in P \simeq \Gamma_x$ . If we suppose that  $X$  and  $\sigma$  are  $G$  invariant, then

$$\tilde{p}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} \sigma(e^{tX}(z))\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} (e^{-tX} \tilde{p}(\sigma))_{p(z)} = (\mathcal{L}_X \tilde{p}(\sigma))_{p(z)},$$

since we defined the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $V$  in terms of the  $A$ -module structure of  $V$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.** *Suppose that  $A$  is an integrable Lie algebroid, and  $V \rightarrow M$  is an  $A$ -module. Then  $\mathbb{A}$  results from the construction given in Example 5. Namely there exists a Lie group  $G$ , and a principal  $G$ -bundle  $P \rightarrow M$  such that  $\mathbb{A}$  is the quotient of a  $TP \mathbb{R}^k$ -Courant algebroid. Furthermore, if  $L \subset \mathbb{A}$  is an AV-Dirac structure, then it is also the quotient of a corresponding  $TP \mathbb{R}^k$ -Dirac structure on  $P$ .*

*Consequently, if  $V$  is a line-bundle, then  $\mathbb{A}$  is simply the quotient of an exact Courant algebroid on  $P$ .*

*Proof.* We choose some isotropic splitting of  $\mathbb{A}$ , so that

$$\mathbb{A} \simeq A \oplus (V \otimes A^*),$$

the bracket is given by Equation (2.5), and the symmetric bilinear form by Equation (2.6). Then we can use the previous propositions to lift the right hand side to a principal bundle:

By the above propositions, there exists a (possibly disconnected) Lie group  $G$ , and a simply connected  $G$ -principal bundle,  $\nu : P \rightarrow M$ , such that  $A \simeq TP/G$ , and in addition to this there is a  $G$ -action on  $W := \mathbb{R}^{\dim(V)}$ , say  $\lambda : G \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(W)$  such that  $V = P \times_G W$ . In this setting,

<sup>1</sup>See, for instance, [8], [20], or [22], for more details. Here  $\mathbf{GL}(V)$  is the Lie groupoid of linear isomorphisms of the fibres of  $V$ , namely  $\mathbf{GL}(V)_x^y = \text{Hom}(V_x, V_y)$ .

<sup>2</sup>Since  $A$  is transitive and  $M$  is connected,  $t : \Gamma_x \rightarrow M$  is a surjective submersion. Let  $y \in M$ , and  $\sigma : U \rightarrow \Gamma_x$  be a section (so that  $t \circ \sigma = \text{id}$ ). Then  $(z, v) \rightarrow \sigma(z)(v) : U \times V_x \rightarrow V_U$  is a diffeomorphism.

$\Gamma(V \otimes \wedge^i A^*) \simeq \Omega^i(P, W)^G$ , and  $d : \Gamma(V \otimes \wedge^i A^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(V \otimes \wedge^{i+1} A^*)$  is the restriction of the exterior derivative  $d$  to  $\Omega^*(P, W)^G$ .

Thus since  $H \in \Gamma(V \otimes \wedge^3 A^*) \simeq \Omega^3(P, W)^G$ , it is clear that we may view  $H$  as a  $G$ -invariant element of  $\Omega^3(P, W)$ , and define the  $TP$   $W$ -Courant algebroid  $W \otimes T^*P \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \rightarrow TP$  in terms of it: Namely,  $\mathbb{T} \simeq TP \oplus (W \otimes T^*P)$  endowed with a  $W$ -valued symmetric bilinear form given by Equation (2.6), and the bracket given by Equation (2.5). (See Example 5 for more details on this construction.)

It is clear that  $\mathbb{A}$  is the quotient of this  $TP$   $W$ -Courant algebroid.

Equivalently, it is easy to see that  $TP = \nu^*A$ ,  $W = \nu^*V$  and  $\mathbb{T} = \nu^*\mathbb{A}$ . The  $W$ -valued symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathbb{T}$  is simply the pullback of the  $V$ -valued symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathbb{A}$ , and if  $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(\mathbb{A})$ , then  $[\nu^*e_1, \nu^*e_2] = \nu^*[e_1, e_2]$ , and the bracket on  $\mathbb{T}$  is then extended to arbitrary sections of  $\mathbb{T}$  by Axioms (AV-3) and Remark 1.

Next, let  $\tilde{L} = \nu^*(L) \subset \mathbb{T}$ . It is obvious that  $L^\perp = L \Rightarrow \tilde{L}^\perp = \tilde{L}$ ; and similarly since  $L$  is involutive, so is  $\tilde{L}$ .

Thus  $\tilde{L} \subset \mathbb{T}$  is a  $TP$   $W$ -Dirac structure, and  $\tilde{L}/G = L$ .  $\square$

*Example 10.* If  $A = TM$  and  $V$  is a flat vector bundle over  $M$ , then following the proof of Proposition 4 we see that  $G = \pi_1(M)$  is the fundamental group, and  $P = \tilde{M}$  is the simply connected covering space of  $M$  over which the pullback of  $V$  is a trivial vector bundle.

*Remark 6.* The above propositions construct the principal bundle  $P$ , and the Lie group  $G$ . Suppose however, that we already have a Lie group  $G'$ , and a connected  $G'$ -principal bundle  $\nu' : P' \rightarrow M$  such that  $A \simeq TP'/G'$ . It will not be difficult to see that  $\mathbb{A}$  is the quotient of a  $AV$ -Courant algebroid on  $P'$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G} = (P' \times P')/G'$ , where we take the quotient by the diagonal action. Then

$$\mathcal{G} \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{s} \\ \xrightarrow{t} \end{smallmatrix} M$$

is a Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid  $A$ , where the source map is  $s : [u, v] \rightarrow \nu'(v)$ , the target map is  $t : [u, v] \rightarrow \nu'(u)$ , and the multiplication is  $[u, v] \cdot [v, w] = [u, w]$ .<sup>3</sup> Hence by Lie's second theorem, (see [8], [20], or [22], for instance for more details) since  $\Gamma$ , the Lie groupoid used in the proof of Proposition 4, is source simply connected, there is a unique Lie groupoid morphism  $\Phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  which restricts to the identity map on the Lie algebroid  $A$ .

It follows that  $\Phi|_P : P \rightarrow P'$  is a covering map,<sup>4</sup> and  $\Phi|_G : G \rightarrow G'$  is a covering morphism of Lie groups.<sup>5</sup> It is easy to see that  $H = \ker(\Phi|_G) \simeq \pi(P')$ , and  $P' = P/H$ .

Thus, we may take the quotient of the  $TP$   $W$ -Courant algebroid on  $P$  (constructed in Proposition 4) by  $H$ , to form a  $TP' W/H$ -Courant algebroid on  $P'$  whose quotient by  $G'$  is  $\mathbb{A}$ . It is important to note that while  $W$  is a trivial vector bundle,  $W/H$  is a flat vector bundle.

**Corollary 1.** *Suppose that  $V$  is an  $A$ -module, and  $M$  is contractible, then  $\mathbb{A}$  is the quotient of a  $TP \mathbb{R}^k$ -Courant algebroid  $\mathbb{R}^k \otimes T^*P \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \rightarrow TP$  on some principal  $G$ -bundle,  $P$ . (See Example 4). Furthermore, if  $L \subset \mathbb{A}$  is an  $AV$ -Dirac structure, then it is also the quotient of a  $TP \mathbb{R}^k$ -Dirac structure  $\tilde{L} \subset \mathbb{T}$ .*

*Proof.* Every transitive Lie algebroid is integrable over a contractible space, see [19] for details.  $\square$

<sup>3</sup>An element of  $\mathcal{G}$  is an equivalence class, which we may view as a subset of  $\nu'^{-1}(y) \times \nu'^{-1}(z)$  which is  $G$  invariant. As such, we may view it as the graph of an equivariant diffeomorphism  $\nu'^{-1}(y) \rightarrow \nu'^{-1}(z)$ . The multiplication in  $\mathcal{G}$  is simply the composition of these diffeomorphisms. See [9] for details

<sup>4</sup>Here we use the identifications  $P = \Gamma_x$  and  $P' = \mathcal{G}_x$ . It is a covering map since the right invariant vector fields, which are identified with the sections of  $A$ , span the tangent space of the source fibres.

<sup>5</sup>Here we use the identifications  $G = \Gamma_x^x$  and  $G' = \mathcal{G}_x^x$ .



**5.2. Contact Manifolds.** Iglesias and Wade show how to describe contact manifolds as  $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ -Dirac Structures in [15]. Thus in light of Example 9, we can describe them as AV-Dirac structures. We will now describe this same construction from a more geometric perspective, similar to their description in [16]:

To simplify things, we assume that  $(M, \xi)$  is a co-oriented contact manifold, and we use the fact that there is a one-to-one correspondence between co-oriented contact manifolds and symplectic cones; namely,  $(N, \omega_N)$  is a symplectic cone, where  $N = \text{Ann}^+(\xi) \subset T^*M$ ,  $\omega_N$  is the two form induced from the standard symplectic form on  $T^*M$  and the action is scalar multiplication along the fibres.

Now  $X \rightarrow \iota_X \omega_N : TN \rightarrow T^*N$  defines an isomorphism. We let  $L \subset TN \oplus T^*N$  be the graph of this morphism; and it is easy to check that  $L$  is a maximal isotropic subbundle of  $TN \oplus T^*N$ , and since  $\omega_N$  is closed,  $L$  is a Dirac subbundle of the standard Courant algebroid on  $N$ . To summarize,  $(M, \xi)$  is a contact manifold if and only if  $L$  is the graph of an isomorphism, or simply  $L \cap TN = 0$ .

We may make the identifications  $N \simeq M \times \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $x, t \rightarrow x, \ln(t) : M \times \mathbb{R}^+ \simeq M \times \mathbb{R}$ ; consequently, as described in Example 6, the quotient of the standard Courant algebroid on  $N = M \times \mathbb{R}$  by the  $\mathbb{R}$  action (3.1) yields an  $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$  bundle on  $M$ ; or an AV-Courant algebroid where  $A = TN/\mathbb{R}$  and  $V$  is the trivial line bundle on  $M$ .

Since  $\omega_N$  is preserved by this action, it follows that its graph,  $L$ , is  $\mathbb{R}$ -invariant and defines an  $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ -Dirac structure which we denote by  $\tilde{L}_\xi$ . It is perhaps important to note that  $\tilde{L}_\xi$  is defined intrinsically. We may conclude that:

**Proposition 5.**  *$(M, \xi)$  is a contact manifold if and only if  $\tilde{L}_\xi \cap A = 0$  (under the canonical splitting).*

## 6. CR-STRUCTURES AND COURANT ALGEBROIDS

Suppose  $M$  is a smooth manifold, let  $H \subset TM$  be a subbundle and suppose  $J \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(H, H))$  is such that  $J^2 = -\text{id}$ . Then  $(H, J)$  is called an almost CR structure. We let  $H_{1,0} \subset \mathbb{C} \otimes H \subset \mathbb{C} \otimes TM$  denote the  $+i$ -eigenbundle of  $J$ , if  $H_{1,0}$  is involutive, then it is called a CR-structure. It is possible to describe this as a Courant algebroid:

We consider the bundle  $H^* \oplus H \simeq T^*M \oplus H / \text{Ann}(H)$ , and the bundle map  $\mathbb{J} := -J^* \oplus J \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(H^* \oplus H, H^* \oplus H^*))$ . It is clear that  $\mathbb{J}^2 = -\text{id}$ . Let  $L = \ker(\mathbb{J} - i) \oplus \text{Ann}(H) \subset \mathbb{C} \otimes (TM \oplus T^*M)$ .

**Proposition 6.**  *$L$  is involutive under the standard Courant bracket if and only if  $J$  defines a CR structure.*

*Proof.* We notice that  $L = H_{1,0} \oplus \text{Ann}(H_{1,0})$ . Therefore  $L$  is involutive under the Courant bracket only if  $\pi(L) = H_{1,0}$  is involutive, where  $\pi : TM \oplus T^*M \rightarrow TM$  is the projection. Thus  $J$  defines a CR structure.

Conversely, suppose that  $H_{1,0}$  is involutive. Then if  $I$  is the ideal generated by  $\text{Ann}(H_{1,0})$  in  $\Gamma(\mathbb{C} \otimes \wedge T^*M)$ , then  $I$  is closed under the differential:  $dI \subset I$ .

In particular, if we restrict our attention to a local neighborhood on  $M$ , and  $\alpha_i$  is a local basis for  $\text{Ann}(H_{1,0})$  and  $\xi \in \Gamma(\text{Ann}(H_{1,0}))$ , then  $d\xi = \sum_i \beta_i \wedge \alpha_i$  for some  $\beta_i \in \Omega^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ . Thus, for any  $X \in \Gamma(H_{1,0})$ , we have,

$$\iota_X d\xi = \sum_i \beta_i(X) \alpha_i \in \Gamma(\text{Ann}(H_{1,0})),$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_X \xi = d\iota_X \xi + \iota_X d\xi = \iota_X d\xi \in \Gamma(\text{Ann}(H_{1,0})).$$

It follows that  $L$  is involutive under the standard Courant bracket. □

In the next section we shall generalize this construction.

## 7. GENERALIZED CR STRUCTURES

Suppose that  $M$  is a manifold,  $A$  is a Lie algebroid over  $M$ ,  $V$  an  $A$ -module of rank one over  $M$ , and  $\mathbb{A}$  an  $AV$ -Courant algebroid over  $M$ . Suppose further that  $A$  has some distinguished subbundle  $H \subset A$ , and consider the bundle given by

$$\mathbb{H} = q(\pi^{-1}(H)), \text{ where } q : \pi^{-1}(H) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(H)/j(V \otimes \text{Ann}(H)).$$

Then the pairing on  $\mathbb{A}$  restricts non-degenerately to  $\mathbb{H}$ , and we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V \otimes H^* \xrightarrow{j} \mathbb{H} \xrightarrow{\pi} H \rightarrow 0.$$

**Definition 3.**  $\mathbb{J} \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H}))$  is called a generalized CR structure if:

- (1)  $\mathbb{J}$  is orthogonal (preserves the pairing on  $\mathbb{H}$ )
- (2)  $\mathbb{J}^2 = -1$
- (3)  $L := q^{-1}(\ker(\mathbb{J} - i)) \subset \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{A}$  is involutive.

*Remark 7.*  $L := q^{-1}(\ker(\mathbb{J} - i)) \subset \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{A}$  is a maximal isotropic subspace of  $\mathbb{A}$  since  $\ker(\mathbb{J} - i)$  is a maximal isotropic subspace of  $\mathbb{H}$ . In particular, since we assume that  $L$  is involutive, it is an  $AV$ -Dirac structure.

*Remark 8.* Here we have relaxed the requirement  $L \cap \bar{L} = 0$  in the definition of a generalized complex structure. While we have allowed  $L \cap \bar{L}$  to be non-trivial, it must lie in  $j(V \otimes \text{Ann}(H)) \subset V \otimes A^*$ . As pointed out in Remark 1, this can be interpreted as saying that  $L \cap \bar{L}$  only fails to be trivial up to an ‘infinitesimal’. On the other hand, we still require  $L$  to be an  $AV$ -Dirac structure.

This is in contrast to the approach taken by generalized CRF structures, introduced by Izu Vaisman in [26], which requires  $L \cap \bar{L} = 0$ , but does not require  $L$  to be a Dirac structure.

It is well known that one can canonically associate a Poisson structure to every generalized complex structure. The analogue for generalized CR structures is to endow  $V \otimes A^*$  with a non-trivial Lie algebroid structure, which we shall do in a canonical fashion following the corresponding argument given for generalized complex structures in [13].

We have an inclusion  $i : H \rightarrow A$ , and consequently a map  $\mathbb{J} \circ j \circ (\text{id} \otimes i^*) : V \otimes A^* \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ , which (abusing notation), we shall simply call  $\mathbb{J}$ . We consider the family of subspaces of  $\mathbb{A}$  given by

$$D_t := e^{t\mathbb{J}}(V \otimes A^*) + V \otimes \text{Ann}(H) = q^{-1}(e^{t\mathbb{J}}(V \otimes H^*)).$$

Since  $e^{t\mathbb{J}} = \cos(t) + \sin(t)\mathbb{J} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is orthogonal, and  $j(V \otimes H^*)$  is a lagrangian subspace of  $\mathbb{H}$ , it follows that  $D_t$  is lagrangian for each  $t$ .

The following proposition is a slight generalization of a result of Gualtieri [13].

**Proposition 7.** (*Gualtieri*) *The family  $D_t$  of almost  $AV$ -Dirac structures is integrable for all  $t$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Gamma(V \otimes A^*)$ , then since  $V \otimes A^* \subset L \oplus \bar{L}$ , we may choose  $X_j \in \Gamma(L)$ , and  $Y_j \in \Gamma(\bar{L})$ , such that  $\xi_j = X_j + Y_j$ . It follows that  $\mathbb{J}\xi_j = iX_j - iY_j + V \otimes \text{Ann}(H)$ . In fact, since  $L \cap \bar{L} = V \otimes \text{Ann}(H)$ , by choosing  $X_j$  and  $Y_j$  appropriately, we may suppose that  $iX_j - iY_j$  is any given representative of  $\mathbb{J} \circ i^*(\xi_j)$  in  $\pi^{-1}(H)$ . Abusing notation will use the term  $\mathbb{J}(\xi_j)$  and our particular choice of representative  $iX_j - iY_j$  interchangeably. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathbb{J}\xi_1, \mathbb{J}\xi_2] - [\xi_1, \xi_2] \\ &= [iX_1 - iY_1, iX_2 - iY_2] - [X_1 + Y_1, X_2 + Y_2] \\ &= -2[X_1, X_2] - 2[Y_1, Y_2] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathbb{J}\xi_1, \xi_2] - [\xi_1, \mathbb{J}\xi_2] \\ &= [iX_1 - iY_1, X_2 + Y_2] - [X_1 + Y_1, iX_2 - iY_2] \\ &= 2i[X_1, X_2] - 2i[Y_1, Y_2] \end{aligned}$$

Thus, since  $L$  and hence  $\bar{L}$  are involutive, we have  $[\mathbb{J}\xi_1, \mathbb{J}\xi_2] - [\xi_1, \xi_2] + V \otimes \text{Ann}(H) = \mathbb{J}([\mathbb{J}\xi_1, \xi_2] - [\xi_1, \mathbb{J}\xi_2]) + V \otimes \text{Ann}(H)$

We let  $a = \cos(t)$  and  $b = \sin(t)$ , and we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & [(a + b\mathbb{J})\xi_1, (a + b\mathbb{J})\xi_2] \\ &= ab([\xi_1, \mathbb{J}\xi_2] + [\mathbb{J}\xi_1, \xi_2]) + b^2[\mathbb{J}\xi_1, \mathbb{J}\xi_2] \\ &= ab([\xi_1, \mathbb{J}\xi_2] + [\mathbb{J}\xi_1, \xi_2]) + b^2([\mathbb{J}\xi_1, \mathbb{J}\xi_2] - [\xi_1, \xi_2]) \end{aligned}$$

So modulo  $V \otimes \text{Ann}(H)$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & [(a + b\mathbb{J})\xi_1, (a + b\mathbb{J})\xi_2] + V \otimes \text{Ann}(H) \\ &= b(a + b\mathbb{J})([\xi_1, \mathbb{J}\xi_2] + [\mathbb{J}\xi_1, \xi_2]) + V \otimes \text{Ann}(H) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $[\xi_1, \mathbb{J}\xi_2] + [\mathbb{J}\xi_1, \xi_2] \in V \otimes A^*$  it follows that  $(\cos(t) + \sin(t)\mathbb{J})(V \otimes A^*) + V \otimes \text{Ann}(H)$  is involutive.  $\square$

We next consider the map  $P : V \otimes A^* \rightarrow H \xrightarrow{i} A$ , which for  $\xi, \eta \in V \otimes A^*$ , is given by

$$\langle P(\xi), \eta \rangle = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} e^{t\mathbb{J}}(\xi), \eta \right\rangle = \langle \mathbb{J}\xi, \eta \rangle \quad (= \langle i \circ \pi \circ \mathbb{J} \circ j \circ i^*(\xi), \eta \rangle).$$

Clearly, since  $\mathbb{J}$  is an orthogonal almost complex structure on  $\mathbb{H}$ ,  $P$  will be given by an element of  $\Gamma(V^* \otimes \wedge^2 A)$ , which we will also denote by  $P$ . Adapting a proposition given in [13], we have:

**Proposition 8.** (*Gualtieri*) *The bivector field  $P = i \circ \pi \circ \mathbb{J} \circ j \circ i^* : V \otimes A^* \rightarrow A$  defines a Lie algebroid structure on  $V \otimes A^*$ : The bracket is given by*

$$[\xi, \eta] = \iota_{P(\cdot, \xi)} d\eta - \iota_{P(\cdot, \eta)} d\xi + d(P(\xi, \eta)),$$

where  $\xi, \eta \in V \otimes A^*$ , and the anchor map by  $\xi \rightarrow a \circ P(\xi, \cdot) : V \otimes A^* \rightarrow TM$ , where  $a : A \rightarrow TM$  is the anchor map of  $A$ . Furthermore, the map  $\xi \rightarrow a \circ P(\xi, \cdot) : V \otimes A^* \rightarrow A$  is a Lie-algebroid morphism.

The proof is an adaptation of one found in [13].

*Proof.* We choose a splitting of the AV-Courant algebroid, and use the isomorphism and notation described in Proposition 1. Then if we choose  $t$  sufficiently small, the AV-Dirac structures  $D_t$  can be described as the graphs of  $\beta_t \in \Gamma(V^* \otimes \wedge^2 A)$ .

In [24], it is shown that the integrability condition of a twisted Poisson structure  $\beta$  over a 3-form background  $\gamma$ , is  $[\beta, \beta] = \wedge^3 \tilde{\beta}(\gamma)$ , where  $\tilde{\beta} : T^*M \rightarrow TM$  is given by  $\tilde{\beta}(\xi)(\eta) = \beta(\xi, \eta)$ . We would like to derive a similar equation for  $\beta_t$ , but we have not defined a bracket for sections of  $V^* \otimes \wedge^2 A$ . In order to define such a bracket, we first define a sheaf of rings over  $M$ :

We let  $\mathcal{F} := (S(V) \otimes S(V^*))/I$ , where  $S(V)$  denotes the symmetric algebra generated by  $V$ , and  $I$  is the ideal generated by  $u \otimes f - f(u)$  for  $f \in \Gamma(V^*)$  and  $u \in \Gamma(V)$ . Since  $V$  is one dimensional, if  $t \in \Gamma(V)$  is a local basis then  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally isomorphic to  $C^\infty(M)[t, t^{-1}]$  as a ring. It is clear that it has a well defined  $\mathbb{Z}$  grading, which for a homogeneous  $v \in \mathcal{F}$ , we denote by  $\tilde{v}$

$\Gamma(S(V) \otimes S(V^*))$  is a  $\Gamma(A)$  module, where sections of  $\Gamma(A)$  act as derivations, and it is easy to check that  $\Gamma(I)$  is a sub-module. Thus it is clear that  $\Gamma(A)$  acts on  $\Gamma(\mathcal{F})$  by derivations satisfying the Leibniz rule with respect to the ring structure on  $\mathcal{F}$ .

We define a bracket on  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \wedge^* A$ , as follows (for  $v, w \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F})$  and  $P, Q \in \Gamma(\wedge^* A)$ ):

- $[X, v] = Xv$  for any  $X \in \Gamma(A)$ , and  $[v, w] = 0$
- $[P \wedge Q, v] = P \wedge [Q, v] + (-1)^{|Q|} [P, v] \wedge Q$
- $[P, Q]$  is given by the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket.
- $[vP, wQ] = (v[P, w])Q - (-1)^{(|P|-1)(|Q|-1)} (w[Q, v])P + vw[P, Q]$ .

If we write  $|vP| = i$  for  $P \in \wedge^i A$ , and  $\deg(vP) = (\tilde{v}, |vP|)$ , then it is clear that our bracket satisfies the following identities (for homogeneous  $a, b, c \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F} \otimes \wedge^* A)$ ):

- $\deg(ab) = \deg(a) + \deg(b)$  and  $\deg([a, b]) = \deg(a) + \deg(b) - (0, 1)$
- $(ab)c = a(bc)$  and  $ab = (-1)^{|a||b|}ba$
- $[a, bc] = [a, b]c + (-1)^{(|a|-1)|b|}b[a, c]$
- $[a, b] = -(-1)^{(|a|-1)(|b|-1)}[b, a]$
- $[a, [b, c]] = [[a, b], c] + (-1)^{(|a|-1)(|b|-1)}[b, [a, c]]$

We next extend  $d$  to a map  $d : \mathcal{F} \otimes \wedge^i A^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \wedge^{i+1} A^*$  in the obvious way. We also have a natural  $\mathcal{F}$ -bilinear pairing on  $\Gamma(\mathcal{F} \otimes \wedge^* A^*) \times \Gamma(\mathcal{F} \otimes \wedge^* A)$ , which for  $v_i, w_j \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\alpha_i \in \Gamma(A^*)$ , and  $X_j \in \Gamma(A)$ , is given by

$$\langle (v_1 \otimes \alpha_1) \cdots (v_p \otimes \alpha_p), (w_1 \otimes X_1) \cdots (w_q \otimes X_q) \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \neq q \\ \det(v_i w_j \otimes \alpha_i(X_j)) & \text{if } p = q \end{cases}.$$

We define a morphism  $\iota : \mathcal{F} \otimes \wedge^* A \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \wedge^* A^*)$  by  $\langle \xi, PQ \rangle = \langle \iota_P \xi, Q \rangle$ . For  $P \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$ ,  $\iota_P$  is a derivation.

We also define a morphism  $\check{\iota} : \mathcal{F} \otimes \wedge^* A^* \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \wedge^* A)$  by  $\langle \xi \eta, P \rangle = \langle \xi, \check{\iota}(\eta)P \rangle$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A^*$ ,  $\check{\iota}(\alpha)$  is a derivation on the right. Namely,  $\check{\iota}(\alpha)(PQ) = P\check{\iota}(\alpha)Q + (-1)^{|Q|}(\check{\iota}(\alpha)P)Q$  (where  $P, Q \in \mathcal{F} \otimes \wedge^* A$  are homogeneous).

Next, we notice that  $\iota_{[P, Q]} = -[[\iota_Q, d], \iota_P]$ . This is easy to check, following the argument given in [21]. Also following an argument in [21] one can verify that, for  $\eta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F} \otimes A^*)$ ,

$$\check{\iota}(\eta)[P, Q] - [P, \check{\iota}(\eta)Q] - (-1)^{|Q|-1}[\check{\iota}(\eta)P, Q] = (-1)^{|Q|-2}(\check{\iota}(d\eta)(PQ) - P\check{\iota}(d\eta)Q - (\check{\iota}(d\eta)P)Q).$$

From this, we calculate, for any  $\beta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F} \otimes \wedge^2 A)$  and  $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F} \otimes A^*)$ ,

$$[\check{\iota}(\xi)\beta, \check{\iota}(\eta)\beta] = \frac{1}{2}\check{\iota}(\xi\eta)[\beta, \beta] + [\beta, \langle \eta\xi, \beta \rangle] + \frac{1}{2}(\check{\iota}(\eta d\xi)\beta^2 - \check{\iota}(\xi d\eta)\beta^2) - \langle d\xi, \beta \rangle \check{\iota}(\eta)\beta + \langle d\eta, \beta \rangle \check{\iota}(\xi)\beta.$$

Furthermore, it is not difficult to verify that  $[\beta, \langle \eta\xi, \beta \rangle] = \check{\iota}(d\beta(\eta, \xi))\beta$ , while

$$\frac{1}{2}(\check{\iota}(\eta d\xi)\beta^2 - \check{\iota}(\xi d\eta)\beta^2) - \langle d\xi, \beta \rangle \check{\iota}(\eta)\beta + \langle d\eta, \beta \rangle \check{\iota}(\xi)\beta = \check{\iota}(\iota_{\check{\iota}(\xi)\beta}d\eta - \iota_{\check{\iota}(\eta)\beta}d\xi)\beta.$$

Thus, we have, for  $\beta \in \Gamma(V^* \otimes \wedge^2 A)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket -\check{\iota}(\xi)\beta + \xi, -\check{\iota}(\eta)\beta + \eta \rrbracket_\phi \\ &= [\check{\iota}(\xi)\beta, \check{\iota}(\eta)\beta] - \iota_{\check{\iota}(\xi)\beta}d\eta + \iota_{\check{\iota}(\eta)\beta}d\xi + d(\beta(\xi, \eta)) + \iota_{\check{\iota}(\xi)\beta}\iota_{\check{\iota}(\eta)\beta}H \\ &= \check{\iota}(\iota_{\check{\iota}(\xi)\beta}d\eta - \iota_{\check{\iota}(\eta)\beta}d\xi - d(\beta(\xi, \eta)))\beta - \iota_{\check{\iota}(\xi)\beta}d\eta + \iota_{\check{\iota}(\eta)\beta}d\xi + d(\beta(\xi, \eta)) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\check{\iota}(\xi\eta)[\beta, \beta] + \iota_{\check{\iota}(\xi)\beta}\iota_{\check{\iota}(\eta)\beta}H. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\beta_t$  defines an  $AV$ -Dirac structure under our chosen splitting if and only if  $\frac{1}{2}\check{\iota}(\eta\xi)[\beta_t, \beta_t] = \check{\iota}(\iota_{\check{\iota}(\xi)\beta_t}\iota_{\check{\iota}(\eta)\beta_t}H)\beta_t$ . To rewrite this, we let  $\tilde{\beta} : \mathcal{F} \otimes A^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes A$  be the map  $\alpha \rightarrow -\check{\iota}(\alpha)\beta$ . The condition is then

$$[\beta_t, \beta_t] = 2 \wedge^3 \tilde{\beta}_t(H).$$

We differentiate both sides by  $t$ , and evaluate at 0. Since we have  $P = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}|_0 \beta_t$  and  $\beta_0 = 0$ , the cubic term vanishes, and we see that the condition is

$$[P, P] = 0.$$

The result follows immediately from this. □

We also have a bracket  $\{, \}$  on  $\Gamma(V)$ , which for  $v, w \in \Gamma(V)$  is given by

$$\{v, w\} = P(dv, dw).$$

It satisfies the following properties (for  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ ):

- $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$  is bilinear.
- $\{v, w\} = -\{w, v\}$
- $\{v, fw\} = f\{v, w\} + (a \circ P(dv)(f))w$
- $\{u, \{v, w\}\} = \{\{u, v\}, w\} + \{v, \{u, w\}\}$  (for any  $u, v, w \in \Gamma(V)$ )

Since  $V$  is a line-bundle, this is quite similar to a Poisson structure. In particular, if  $U \subset M$  is an open set on which  $\sigma \in \Gamma(V|_U)$  is a local basis such that  $P(\sigma) = 0$ , then we have a morphism

$$\rho : C^\infty(U) \xrightarrow{f \mapsto f\sigma} \Gamma(V|_U),$$

which allows us to define a Poisson structure on  $U$ , by

$$\{f, g\} = \rho^{-1}\{\rho(f), \rho(g)\}.$$

In particular, if in some neighborhood  $U \subset M$ ,  $V$  admits a non-zero  $A$ -parallel section  $\sigma \in \Gamma(V|_U)$ , then  $P(\sigma) = 0$ , and thus  $U$  is endowed with a Poisson structure. In fact, the Poisson structure associated to  $U$  in this way is unique up to a constant multiple. Furthermore, if it exists at one point on a leaf of  $A$ , then it exists for any neighborhood of any point in that leaf:

*Remark 9* (Poisson Structure on a Leaf of  $A$ ). Suppose that  $F \subset M$  is a connected leaf of the foliation given by  $A$ , then  $a : A|_F \rightarrow TF$  is a Lie algebroid, and we have an exact sequence of Lie algebroids given by  $0 \rightarrow L = \ker(a) \rightarrow A|_F \rightarrow TF \rightarrow 0$ , where  $L$  is actually a bundle of Lie algebras. The following are equivalent:

- $V$  admits an  $A|_F$ -parallel section for any neighborhood  $U \subset F$ .
- $L$  acts trivially on  $V|_F$ .
- $L_x$  acts trivially on  $V_x$ , for some point  $x \in F$ .<sup>6</sup>

Note that, up to a constant multiple, there is a unique  $A$ -parallel section of  $V|_F$ . Thus, if  $\sigma \in \Gamma(V|_F)$  is a non-zero  $A$ -parallel section we can associate a Poisson structure to  $F$ , unique up to a constant multiple.

*Remark 10* (Jacobi Structure). If  $V$  does not admit  $A$ -parallel sections, but for some  $U \subset M$  there is a canonical choice of a local basis  $\sigma \in \Gamma(V|_U)$ , we may still consider the isomorphism

$$\rho : C^\infty(U) \xrightarrow{f \mapsto f\sigma} \Gamma(V|_U),$$

which allows us to define a bracket on  $C^\infty(U)$  by

$$[f, g]_\sigma = \rho^{-1}\{\rho(f), \rho(g)\}.$$

One notices that this bracket endows  $C^\infty(U)$  with a Lie algebra structure which is local in the sense that the linear operator

$$D_f : C^\infty(U) \xrightarrow{g \mapsto [f, g]_\sigma} C^\infty(U)$$

is local for all  $f \in C^\infty(U)$ . It is an important result (See [25],[17] or [12]) that for any local Lie algebra structure, there exists unique  $\Lambda \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 TM)$ , and  $E \in \Gamma(TM)$  with  $[\Lambda, \Lambda] = -2\Lambda \wedge E$  and  $[\Lambda, E] = 0$  such that

$$[f, g]_\sigma = \{f, g\}_\Lambda + f\mathcal{L}_X g - g\mathcal{L}_X f,$$

where  $\{f, g\}_\Lambda = \check{\iota}_{df}\check{\iota}_{dg}\Lambda$ .

The triple  $(U, \Lambda, E)$  is then called a Jacobi manifold. Note however the dependence of  $\Lambda$  and  $E$  on  $\sigma$ ; this is unlike the local Poisson structure which (if it exists) is unique up to a constant multiple.

---

<sup>6</sup>This follows from the fact that for any  $x, y \in F$  there is a Lie algebroid morphism of  $A$  covering a diffeomorphism of  $M$  which takes  $x$  to  $y$ . In addition these morphisms can be assumed to come from flowing along a section of  $A$ , and hence extend to  $V$ .

*Example 11 (CR Structures).* As described in Section 6, a CR-structure on a manifold  $M$  can be described by a generalized CR structure. In this case,  $V$  can be taken to be the trivial bundle, and  $A$  can be taken to be  $TM$ . It follows from the above discussion that there is a Poisson structure  $P \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 TM)$  associated to the CR structure.

If  $L \subset \mathbb{C} \otimes TM$  is the CR-structure, and  $H = \mathbf{Re}(L \oplus \bar{L}) \subset TM$ , then  $P(T^*M) \subset H$ . So the symplectic foliation associated to  $P$  is everywhere tangent to  $H$ .

*Example 12 (Quotients of Generalized Complex Structures).* If the procedures described in Example 8 and Example 4 are applied to a generalized complex structure, then one obtains a generalized CR structure.

*Example 13 (Contact Structures and Generalized Contact Structures).* Suppose that  $M$  is a contact manifold, then there is a canonical way to associate a generalized CR structure to  $M$ . In particular, if  $N = M \times \mathbb{R}$  is its symplectization, then  $N$  admits a generalized complex structure corresponding to its symplectic structure.  $\mathbb{R}$  acts on  $N$ , and the quotient is a generalized CR structure on  $M$  (In the sense of Example 6 and Example 9)

This procedure is also described in [15] and [16], where they describe it as a generalized contact structure. In fact any generalized contact structure results from the quotient of generalized complex structure, and as such can also be described as a generalized CR structure.

Since the Lie algebroid  $A$  and the vector bundle  $V$  describe an  $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$  structure, as given in Example 3, it can be checked that  $V$  does not admit parallel sections, and thus, in general,  $P \in \Gamma(V^* \otimes \wedge^2 A)$  does not describe a Poisson structure, but rather a Jacobi structure. When the generalized contact structure is simply a contact structure, then  $P$  corresponds to a Jacobi structure describing the contact structure.

To be more explicit, we let  $M$  be a contact manifold with contact distribution  $\xi \subset TM$ , and  $N = M \times \mathbb{R}$  its symplectization, where we let  $t : M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the projection to the second factor, and  $\omega \in \Omega^2(N)$  denote the corresponding symplectic form. (That is,  $\omega = e^t(d\eta + dt \wedge \eta)$ , where  $\eta \in \text{Ann}(\xi)$  is nowhere vanishing.) We note that  $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \omega = \omega$ .

Since  $N$  is a symplectic manifold, we can associate to it a canonical generalized complex structure  $\mathcal{J} : TN \oplus T^*N \rightarrow TN \oplus T^*N$  on the standard Courant algebroid

$$0 \rightarrow T^*N \rightarrow TN \oplus T^*N \rightarrow TN \rightarrow 0$$

(see [13] for details).

The Poisson bivector  $\pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 TN)$  associated to this generalized complex structure has the property that  $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \pi = -\pi$  (since it is the Poisson bivector corresponding to  $\omega$ ). It follows that we can write  $\pi = e^{-t}(\Lambda + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \wedge E)$  for  $E \in \Gamma(M)$ , and  $\Lambda \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 M)$ . Then  $[\pi, \pi] = 0$  implies that

$$0 = [\pi, \pi] = [e^{-t}(\Lambda + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \wedge E), e^{-t}(\Lambda + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \wedge E)] = e^{-2t}[\Lambda, \Lambda] - 2e^{-2t}\Lambda \wedge E + 2e^{-2t}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \wedge [\Lambda, E].$$

From this it follows that  $[\Lambda, \Lambda] = -2\Lambda \wedge E$  and  $[\Lambda, E] = 0$ , which are the defining conditions for a Jacobi structure  $(\Lambda, E)$  on  $M$ .

Now, we consider the  $TM \oplus \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{R}$  Courant algebroid structure on  $M$ , given by taking the quotient by the  $G = \mathbb{R}$  action on  $N = M \times \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$0 \rightarrow T^*N/G \rightarrow (TN \oplus T^*N)/G \rightarrow TN/G \rightarrow 0,$$

and the generalized CR structure on  $M$  given by quotient homomorphism

$$\mathbb{J} := \mathcal{J}/G : (TN \oplus T^*N)/G \rightarrow (TN \oplus T^*N)/G.$$

They define an  $AV$ -Courant algebroid, where  $A = TN/G$ , and the bundle  $V \rightarrow M$  is trivial, with  $\Gamma(V) \simeq C^\infty(N)^G$  (this is in fact an  $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$  structure, see [15]). Abusing notation, we denote by  $e^t \in \Gamma(V)$  the section associated to the  $G$ -invariant function  $e^t \in C^\infty(N)$ .

Then the bivector  $P \in \Gamma(V^* \otimes \wedge^2 A)$  associated to the generalized CR structure on  $M$  is simply  $e^{-t}(\Lambda + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \wedge E)$ , and it defines a Jacobi structure on  $M$ , with bivector field  $\Lambda$  and vector field  $E$ . Since  $\Lambda^n \wedge E \neq 0$  (where  $\dim(M) = 2n+1$ ) this Jacobi structure corresponds to a contact structure. In fact, the contact distribution is given by  $\text{span}\{\iota_\alpha \Lambda \mid \alpha \in T^*M\}$ ; and if  $\theta \in \Omega^1(M)$  satisfies  $\iota_\theta \Lambda = 0$  and  $\iota_\theta E = 1$ , then  $\theta$  is a contact form. It is not difficult to see that this is the original contact structure,  $\xi$ , defined on  $M$ . (In fact, if  $\omega = e^t(d\eta + dt \wedge \eta)$  is the symplectic form on  $N$  (where  $\eta \in \text{Ann}(\xi)$  is nowhere vanishing), then  $E$  is a reeb vector field for  $\eta$  and  $\theta = \eta$ .)

We must note that, if instead of trivializing  $V$  by the section  $e^t \in \Gamma(V)$ , we made the transformation  $e^t \rightarrow f e^t$ , for some nowhere vanishing  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ , then the appropriate changes to the Jacobi structure would be  $\Lambda \rightarrow f\Lambda$ ,  $E \rightarrow fE - \iota_{df}\Lambda$ , and the transformation for the contact form would be  $\theta \rightarrow \frac{1}{f}\theta$ . Thus it is clear that the freedom to modify the trivializing section of  $V$  by a scalar multiple does not change the contact distribution, and fully accounts for the freedom to change the contact form by a scalar multiple. Indeed the generalized CR structure is defined intrinsically.

## 8. APPENDIX: PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1

Suppose that  $M$  is a manifold,  $A$  is a Lie algebroid over  $M$ ,  $V$  is an  $A$ -module over  $M$ , and  $\mathbb{A}$  is an AV-Courant algebroid over  $M$ .

For  $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$ , we have the following identities:

- $[\iota_X, \iota_Y] = 0$
- $[d, \iota_X] = \mathcal{L}_X$
- $[\mathcal{L}_X, \iota_Y] = \iota_{[X, Y]}$
- $[d, d] = 0$
- $[\mathcal{L}_X, d] = 0$
- $[\mathcal{L}_X, \mathcal{L}_Y] = \mathcal{L}_{[X, Y]}$

We will provide the proof we promised for Proposition 1, which we restate here:

**Proposition 9.** *Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  be an isotropic splitting. Then under the isomorphism  $\phi \oplus j : A \oplus (V \otimes A^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ , the bracket is given by*

$$[[X + \xi, Y + \eta]]_\phi = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \eta - \iota_Y d\xi + \iota_X \iota_Y H_\phi,$$

where  $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$ ,  $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma(V \otimes A^*)$ , and  $H_\phi \in \Gamma(V \otimes \wedge^3 A^*)$ , with  $dH_\phi = 0$ .

Furthermore, if  $\psi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  is a different choice of isotropic splitting, then  $\psi(X) = \phi(X) + j(\iota_X \beta)$ , and  $H_\psi = H_\phi - d\beta$ , where  $\beta \in \Gamma(V \otimes \wedge^2 A^*)$ .

*Proof.* The proof will follow immediately from the following lemmas: □

**Lemma 1.** *If  $\xi \in \Gamma(V \otimes A^*)$ , and  $e \in \Gamma(\mathbb{A})$ , then  $[[e, j(\xi)]] = j(\mathcal{L}_{\pi(e)} \xi)$*

*Proof.* Let  $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(\mathbb{A})$ ,  $\xi \in \Gamma(V \otimes A^*)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [[e_1, j(\xi)], e_2] \rangle &= \mathcal{L}_{\pi(e_1)} \langle j(\xi), e_2 \rangle - \langle j(\xi), [[e_1, e_2]] \rangle \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{\pi(e_1)} \iota_{\pi(e_2)} \xi - \iota_{\pi([e_1, e_2])} \xi \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{\pi(e_1)} \iota_{\pi(e_2)} \xi - \iota_{[\pi(e_1), \pi(e_2)]} \xi \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{\pi(e_1)} \iota_{\pi(e_2)} \xi - [\mathcal{L}_{\pi(e_1)}, \iota_{\pi(e_2)}] \xi \\ &= \iota_{\pi(e_2)} \mathcal{L}_{\pi(e_1)} \xi \\ &= \langle j(\mathcal{L}_{\pi(e_1)} \xi), e_2 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 2.** *If  $\xi \in \Gamma(V \otimes A^*)$ , and  $e \in \Gamma(\mathbb{A})$ , then  $[[j(\xi), e]] = -j(\iota_{\pi(e)} d\xi)$ .*

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\llbracket j(\xi), e \rrbracket &= D\langle j(\xi), e \rangle - \llbracket e, j(\xi) \rrbracket \\
&= j(d\iota_{\pi(e)}\xi) - j(\mathcal{L}_{\pi(e)}\xi) \\
&= j(d\iota_{\pi(e)}\xi - (\iota_{\pi(e)}d\xi + d\iota_{\pi(e)}\xi)) \\
&= -j(\iota_{\pi(e)}d\xi)
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 3.** *If  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  is an isotropic splitting, and if  $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$  then*

$$\llbracket \phi(X), \phi(Y) \rrbracket - \phi([X, Y]) = j(\iota_X \iota_Y H),$$

where  $H \in \Gamma(V \otimes \wedge^3 A^*)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\phi$  be an isotropic splitting, and  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(A)$ . Then

$$\pi(\llbracket \phi(X), \phi(Y) \rrbracket - \phi([X, Y])) = 0,$$

so by exactness of the sequence (2.2),  $\llbracket \phi(X), \phi(Y) \rrbracket - \phi([X, Y]) \in j(\Gamma(V \otimes A^*))$ . We define  $H$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
H(X, Y, Z) &= \langle \phi(Z), \llbracket \phi(X), \phi(Y) \rrbracket - \phi([X, Y]) \rangle \\
&= \langle \phi(Z), \llbracket \phi(X), \phi(Y) \rrbracket \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows since  $\phi$  is an isotropic splitting. It is obvious that  $H$  is tensorial in  $Z$ . Furthermore, making repeated use of the fact that  $\phi$  is an isotropic splitting, we check that  $H$  is skew-symmetric:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \phi(Z), \llbracket \phi(X), \phi(Y) \rrbracket \rangle &= \langle \phi(Z), -\llbracket \phi(Y), \phi(X) \rrbracket + D\langle \phi(X), \phi(Y) \rangle \rangle \\
&= -\langle \phi(Z), \llbracket \phi(Y), \phi(X) \rrbracket \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \mathcal{L}_X \langle \phi(Z), \phi(Y) \rangle \\
&= \langle \llbracket \phi(X), \phi(Z) \rrbracket, \phi(Y) \rangle + \langle \phi(Z), \llbracket \phi(X), \phi(Y) \rrbracket \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $H \in \Gamma(V \otimes \wedge^3 A^*)$ .

□

**Lemma 4.** *Using the notation of the previous lemmas,  $dH = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Using the fact that  $[\mathcal{L}_X, \iota_Y] = \iota_{[X, Y]}$ , it is easy to show that

$$d\iota_Z \iota_Y \iota_X + \iota_Z \iota_Y \iota_X d = \mathcal{L}_Z \iota_Y \iota_X + \mathcal{L}_Y \iota_X \iota_Z + \mathcal{L}_X \iota_Z \iota_Y + \iota_Z \iota_{[Y, X]} + \iota_Y \iota_{[X, Z]} + \iota_X \iota_{[Z, Y]}.$$

Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  be an isotropic splitting. We shall use the identification

$$A \oplus (V \otimes A^*) \xrightarrow{\phi \oplus j} \mathbb{A}$$

explicitly throughout this section. We have, for  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(A)$ ,

$$\llbracket X, Y \rrbracket_\phi = [X, Y] + \iota_X \iota_Y H.$$

Then using Axiom (AV-1) from the definition of an AV-Courant algebroid, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \llbracket Z, \llbracket Y, X \rrbracket_\phi \rrbracket_\phi - \llbracket \llbracket Z, Y \rrbracket_\phi, X \rrbracket_\phi - \llbracket Y, \llbracket Z, X \rrbracket_\phi \rrbracket_\phi \\
&= \llbracket Z, [Y, X] + \iota_Y \iota_X H \rrbracket_\phi - \llbracket [Z, Y] + \iota_Z \iota_Y H, X \rrbracket_\phi - \llbracket Y, [Z, X] + \iota_Z \iota_X H \rrbracket_\phi \\
&= \llbracket Z, [Y, X] \rrbracket_\phi + \mathcal{L}_Z \iota_Y \iota_X H - \llbracket [Z, Y], X \rrbracket_\phi + \iota_X d\iota_Z \iota_Y H - \llbracket Y, [Z, X] \rrbracket_\phi - \mathcal{L}_Y \iota_Z \iota_X H \\
&= \llbracket Z, [Y, X] \rrbracket_\phi - \llbracket [Z, Y], X \rrbracket_\phi - \llbracket Y, [Z, X] \rrbracket_\phi \\
&\quad + \mathcal{L}_Z \iota_Y \iota_X H + \mathcal{L}_X \iota_Z \iota_Y H + \mathcal{L}_Y \iota_X \iota_Z H - d\iota_Z \iota_Y \iota_X H \\
&= [Z, [Y, X]] + \iota_Z \iota_{[Y, X]} H - [[Z, Y], X] - \iota_{[Z, Y]} \iota_X H - [Y, [Z, X]] - \iota_Y \iota_{[Z, X]} H \\
&\quad + \mathcal{L}_Z \iota_Y \iota_X H + \mathcal{L}_X \iota_Z \iota_Y H + \mathcal{L}_Y \iota_X \iota_Z H - d\iota_Z \iota_Y \iota_X H \\
&= [Z, [Y, X]] - [[Z, Y], X] - [Y, [Z, X]] + \iota_Z \iota_{[Y, X]} H + \iota_X \iota_{[Z, Y]} H + \iota_Y \iota_{[X, Z]} H \\
&\quad + \mathcal{L}_Z \iota_Y \iota_X H + \mathcal{L}_X \iota_Z \iota_Y H + \mathcal{L}_Y \iota_X \iota_Z H - d\iota_Z \iota_Y \iota_X H \\
&= \iota_Z \iota_Y \iota_X dH.
\end{aligned}$$



□

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  and  $\psi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  be two isotropic splittings, and let  $H_\phi$  and  $H_\psi$  be the elements of  $\Gamma(V \otimes \wedge^3 A^*)$  associated to the corresponding splittings. Namely, if  $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$ , then  $\llbracket \phi(X), \phi(Y) \rrbracket = \phi([X, Y]) + j\iota_X \iota_Y H_\phi$ , and similarly for  $H_\psi$ .*

*Then there exists  $\beta \in \Gamma(V \otimes \wedge^2 A^*)$  such that  $\psi(X) = \phi(X) + j(\iota_X \beta)$  and  $H_\psi = H_\phi - d\beta$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are splittings, we see that

$$\pi((\phi - \psi)(X)) = 0.$$

Thus, by the exactness of the sequence (2.2),  $(\phi - \psi)(X) = j \circ S(X)$  for some linear map  $S : A \rightarrow V \otimes A^*$ .

However since the splittings are isotropic,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle \phi(X), \phi(Y) \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi(X) + j \circ S(X), \psi(Y) + j \circ S(Y) \rangle \\ &= S(X)(Y) + S(Y)(X), \end{aligned}$$

so we can define  $\beta \in \Gamma(V \otimes \wedge^2 A^*)$  by  $\iota_X \beta = S(X)$ . Then, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi([X, Y]) + \iota_X \iota_Y H_\psi &= \llbracket \phi(X) + j(\iota_X \beta), \phi(Y) + j(\iota_Y \beta) \rrbracket \\ &= \phi([X, Y]) + j(\mathcal{L}_X \iota_Y \beta - \iota_Y d\iota_X \beta + \iota_X \iota_Y H_\phi) \\ &= \phi([X, Y]) + j(\iota_X \iota_Y H_\phi) + j(\mathcal{L}_X \iota_Y \beta - \iota_Y \mathcal{L}_X \beta + \iota_Y \iota_X d\beta) \\ &= \phi([X, Y]) + j(\iota_X \iota_Y H_\phi) + j(\iota_{[X, Y]} \beta + \iota_Y \iota_X d\beta) \\ &= \phi([X, Y]) + j(\iota_{[X, Y]} \beta) + j(\iota_X \iota_Y H_\phi - \iota_X \iota_Y d\beta) \\ &= \psi([X, Y]) + j(\iota_X \iota_Y H_\phi - \iota_X \iota_Y d\beta), \end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$H_\psi = H_\phi - d\beta.$$

□

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Bressler, A. Chervov, *Courant Algebroids*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences, **128** (2005), 3030-3053, *arXiv:hep-th/0501247*
- [2] Z. Chen, Z.-J. Liu, *On (co-)morphisms of Lie pseudoalgebras and groupoids*, J. Algebra **316** (2007), 1-31, *arXiv:0710.2149*.
- [3] Z. Chen, Z.-J. Liu, *Omni-Lie algebroids*, (2007), *arXiv:0710.1923*.
- [4] Z. Chen, Z.-J. Liu, Y.-H. Sheng, *Dirac structures of omni-Lie algebroids*, (2008), *arXiv:0802.3819*.
- [5] Z. Chen, Z.-J. Liu, Y.-H. Sheng, *E-Courant Algebroids*, (2008), *arXiv:0805.4093*.
- [6] T. Courant, A. Weinstein, *Beyond Poisson Structures*, Travaux en Cours, **27** (1988), 39-49, Hermann, Paris.
- [7] T. Courant *Dirac Manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **319** (1990), 631-661.
- [8] M. Crainic, R. L. Fernandes *Integrability of Lie brackets*, Annals of Math., **157** (2003), 575-620. *arXiv:math/0105033*
- [9] A. C. da Silva, A. Weinstein, *Geometric Models for Noncommutative Algebras*, Berkeley Math. Lect. Notes **10**, (2000).
- [10] R. L. Fernandes *Lie Algebroids, Holonomy and Characteristic Classes*, Adv. in Math., **170** (2002), 119-179. *arXiv:math/0007132*
- [11] J. Grabowski, G. Marmo, *The graded Jacobi algebras and (co)homology*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **36** (2003), 161-81.
- [12] Guedira F., Lichnerowicz A. *Géométrie des algèbres de Lie locales de Kirillov*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **63** (1984), 407-84
- [13] M. Gualtieri, *Generalized Complex Geometry*, *arXiv:math/0703298v2*
- [14] N. Hitchin, *Generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds*, Q. J. Math. **54** (2003), 281-308.
- [15] D. Iglesias and A. Wade, *Contact manifolds and generalized complex structures*, J. Geom. Phys. **53** (2005), 249-258. *arXiv:math/0404519v2*

- [16] D. Iglesias and A. Wade, *Integration of Dirac-Jacobi structures*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., Volume **39**, Issue **16** (2006), 4181-4190. *arXiv:math/0507538v2*
- [17] Kirillov A., *Local Lie algebra*, Russ. Math. Surv. **31** (1976), 55-75
- [18] U. Lindström, R. Minasian, A. Tomasiello and M. Zabzine, *Generalized complex manifolds and supersymmetry*. Comm. Math. Phys. **257** (2005), 235-256.
- [19] K. Mackenzie, *General Theory of Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids*, London Math. Soc. Lec. Series Notes, **213** (2005).
- [20] K. Mackenzie, P. Xu, *Integration of Lie bialgebroids*, Topology, **39** (2000), 445-467.
- [21] Charles-Michel Marle, *The Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket and interior products*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, **23**, 350-359, 1997.
- [22] I. Moerdijk, J. Mrčun, *On integrability of infinitesimal actions*, Amer. J. Math. **124** (2002), 567-593.
- [23] J. M. Nunes da Costa and J. Clemente-Gallardo, *Dirac structures for generalized Lie bialgebroids*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37** (2004), 2671-2692.
- [24] P. Ševera and A. Weinstein, *Poisson geometry with a 3-form background*, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **144** (2001), 145-154. *arXiv:math/0107133*
- [25] K. Shiga, *Cohomology of Lie algebras over a manifold I, II*, J. Math Soc. Japan **26** (1974), 324-61
- [26] I. Vaisman, *Generalized CRF-structures*, Geometriae Dedicata **133** no. **1** (2008), 129-154. *arxiv:0705.3934*.
- [27] Wade, A., *Conformal Dirac Structures*, Lett. Math. Phys., **53** (2000), 331-348. *arXiv: math.Sg/0101181*.